Preliminary communication

Reaction of ethynylmagnesium bromide with 2,3-O-isopropylidene-D-ribose and 2,3:5,6-di-O-isopropylidene-D-mannofuranose: syntheses of glycofuranosylethynes

J. GRANT BUCHANAN, ALLAN D. DUNN, and ALAN R. EDGAR

Devartment of Chemistry, Heriot-Watt University, Riccarton, Currie, Edinburgh EH14 4AS (Great Britain)

(Received May 9th, 1974; accepted for publication, May 21st, 1974)

We have previously described¹ the synthesis of the potential C-nucleoside precursors, 2,3,5-tri-O-benzyl- β -D-ribofuranosylethyne and its α -D anomer, from 2,3,5-tri-O-benzyl-D-ribofuranose. In order to extend the range of protecting groups, we have now explored syntheses starting from 2,3-O-isopropylidene-D-ribose (1) and have encountered some interesting stereochemical results.

The isopropylidene compound, which probably exists² mainly as the furanose 1, reacted with ethynylmagnesium bromide in tetrahydrofuran to give the crystalline D-allo triol 2, m.p. $100-101^{\circ}$, $[\alpha]_D$ -63.6° (ethanol), in 70% yield. Tritylation of 2 in pyridine afforded the crystalline ether 3, m.p. $122-122.5^{\circ}$, $[\alpha]_D$ +35.8° (chloroform). The D-allo configuration of 2 and 3 was established by reduction of 3 with lithium aluminium hydride³ to give the crystalline alkene 4, m.p. 153° , $[\alpha]_D$ +19.4° (chloroform); acidic hydrolysis of 4, followed by ozonolysis^{3,4} gave D-allose as the sole hexose product, identified chromatographically and by conversion (borohydride reduction) into crystalline allitol.

The D-allo configuration in 2 and 3 was unexpected since Chilton and his colleagues⁵ had claimed that reaction of the mannofuranose 8 and ethynylmagnesium bromide gave mainly the D-glycero-D-galacto isomer 9, isolated as the crystalline diacetate 10 in 68% yield. In 9, C-3 and C-4 have a threo configuration, whereas in 2 the relationship is erythro. We have reinvestigated Chilton's system and have found that the major product, isolated as the diacetate (53%), m.p. 82.5–83°, $[\alpha]_D$ –11.7° (chloroform) (lit.⁵ m.p. 80°), is not 10, but is the D-glycero-D-talo isomer 12. From the mother liquors, after deacetylation and chromatography, the diols 11, m.p. 75–76°, $[\alpha]_D$ –31.6° (chloroform) (lit.⁵ m.p. 74°), and 9, m.p. 98–98.5°, $[\alpha]_D$ –21.5° (chloroform), were isolated in yields of 12 and 5%, respectively, making a total of 65% for 11 and 12.

The D-glycero-D-talo configuration of 11 and 12 was shown by reduction^{3,5} of 12 to the crystalline olefin 13, m.p. $45-46^{\circ}$, $[\alpha]_D +0.9^{\circ}$ (chloroform), which was hydrolysed

with acid and the product subjected to ozonolysis. D-glycero-D-talo-Heptose⁶ was the only heptose detectable by paper chromatography; it was clearly distinguishable from D-glycero-D-galacto-heptose. In a separate experiment, the heptose was converted into crystalline D-glycero-D-talo-heptitol (D-volemitol)⁷ by reduction with sodium borohydride. The earlier

assignment⁵ depends on the isolation of a low yield (8%) of crystalline *D-glycero-D-galacto*-heptonolactone from a similar reaction sequence, but including oxidation with alkaline silver oxide, treatment which may have caused epimerisation at C-2 of the heptose moiety.

In the *ribo* series, ring closure of 3 by means of toluene-*p*-sulphonyl chloride in pyridine¹ afforded the amorphous α -D-ribosylethyne 5, $[\alpha]_D$ –23.8° (chloroform), in 94% yield. Acidic hydrolysis of 5 gave crystalline α -D-ribofuranosylethyne (6), m.p. 102–102.5°, $[\alpha]_D$ +4.0° (ethanol), in 70% yield; the structure of 6 was confirmed by benzylation (sodium hydride/benzyl chloride⁸) to give the known¹ ether 7.

In the *manno* series, ring closure of 11 in similar fashion gave (75%) the crystalline β -D-mannofuranosylethyne 14, m.p. 113–114°, $[\alpha]_D$ +34.6° (chloroform), which could be converted into 15, m.p. 92°, $[\alpha]_D$ +34.8° (chloroform), by partial acidic hydrolysis. Periodate oxidation of 15, followed by reduction with borohydride, afforded the crystalline β -D-lyxofuranosylethyne 16, m.p. 108–108.5°, $[\alpha]_D$ +33.3° (chloroform).

All new compounds gave satisfactory analytical and spectroscopic data. We thank Drs. H. S. Isbell, B. E. Stacey, and E. Zissis for the provision of several reference compounds, and the Science Research Council for a postgraduate studentship to A.D.D.

REFERENCES

- 1 J. G. Buchanan, A. R. Edgar, and M. J. Power. Chem. Commun., (1972) 346; J. Chem. Soc. Perkin I, (1974) in press.
- 2 S. J. Angyal, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 8 (1969) 157.
- 3 R. Hems, D. Horton, and M. Nakadate, Carbohyd. Res., 25 (1972) 205.
- 4 D. Horton and J. M. J. Tronchet, Carbohyd. Res., 2 (1966) 315.
- 5 W. S. Chilton, W. C. Lontz, R. B. Roy, and C. Yoda, J. Org. Chem., 36 (1971) 3222.
- 6 H. S. Isbell, J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand., 20 (1938) 97.
- 7 W. D. Maclay, R. M. Hann, and C. S. Hudson, J. Org. Chem., 9 (1944) 293.
- 8 J. S. Brimacombe, B. D. Jones, M. Stacey, and J. J. Willard, Carbohyd. Res., 2 (1966) 167.